Order Now! "The Wuhan Cover-Up" by RFK Jr.!

02/09/24 • COVID › NEWS

CDC's Own Scientists Found Masks Ineffective for COVID — But Agency Recommended Them Anyway

According to an investigation by independent journalist Paul D. Thacker published this week in The Disinformation Chronicle, officials at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention openly questioned the findings of its own scientists' studies contradicting the agency's public messaging about mask effectiveness

By **Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.**

63

Miss a day, miss a lot.** Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day. **It's free.

The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) own scientists conducted studies showing N95 respirators are no more effective at stopping viruses than surgical masks — yet the agency issued guidance contradicting those and other studies showing both types of masks are ineffective at stopping the spread of COVID-19, according to an investigation by independent journalist Paul D. Thacker.

The investigation, published this week in two parts on The Disinformation Chronicle, details how CDC leadership openly questioned the findings of CDC scientists' studies contradicting the agency's public messaging about mask effectiveness.

During the pandemic, mask advocates "shifted goalposts and demanded N95 respirators," Thacker said, claiming they perform better than surgical masks at stopping the virus.

However, Thacker said CDC scientists found no difference between N95 and surgical masks in the ability to stop the spread of respiratory viruses. The findings of the CDC studies are consistent with other peer-reviewed studies on the efficacy of masks in preventing COVID-19, according to Thacker.

"But the CDC responded by saying people can't say that," Thacker told The Defender.

To shut down the controversy, the CDC, in its Jan. 23 post on preventing the transmission of pathogens in healthcare settings, warned researchers that to suggest facemasks and respirators are the same "is not scientifically correct," Thacker wrote.

CDC ignores own studies questioning N95, mask effectiveness

According to Thacker, CDC guidance for controlling the spread of infections had not been updated since 2007. This prompted the CDC, in 2022, to select "a bunch of science experts," and ask them "to update the agency's scientific guidance to hospitals on how to control infections."

In November 2023, the experts produced an 80-page systematic review and meta-analysis, examining whether N95 respirators were more effective than surgical masks. The review found that while N95 respirators are better at filtering particles, the finding that they are more effective at stopping viruses "has been less conclusive."

The systematic review also examined the "effectiveness" of N95 respirators and surgical masks "under 'real world'" conditions and found "no difference" between the two.

The review also found numerous symptoms reported by N95 mask users, including: "difficulty breathing, headaches, and dizziness; skin barrier damage and itching; fatigue; and difficulty talking."

According to Thacker, the CDC is not pleased with these findings, suggesting in its recent update that its own scientists were wrong.

"Although masks can provide some level of filtration, the level of filtration is not comparable to NIOSH Approved respirators," the CDC said.

The post also stated, "The COVID-19 pandemic has forever changed the approach we take in healthcare settings to protect healthcare personnel, patients, and others from transmission of respiratory infections."

More evidence contradicting the CDC's public position came at a June 2023 CDC meeting in Atlanta, when Erin Stone, MPH, a public health analyst in the agency's Office of Guidelines and Evidence Review, presented the findings of a meta-analysis on the effectiveness of N95 respirators and surgical masks.

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's *New* Book: "Vax-Unvax"

ORDER NOW

According to Stone, the data "suggests no difference" in their effectiveness.

Yet, in November 2023 testimony before the U.S. House of Representatives' Energy and Commerce Committee, CDC Director Mandy Cohen sidestepped questions regarding mask effectiveness and refused to deny she would reinstate mask mandates for children.

According to Thacker, in December 2023, just six days after Cohen's testimony, The BMJ's Archives of Disease in Childhood journal published a study finding that "mask recommendations for children are not supported by scientific evidence."

"Recommending child masking does not meet the accepted practice of promulgating only medical interventions where benefits clearly outweigh harms," the study authors noted.

Thacker: CDC guidance based on politics, not science

Thacker said the CDC contradicted its own findings on mask efficacy even in the early stages of the COVID-19 pandemic.

"Soon after the pandemic started, the CDC began promoting masks to stop the spread of COVID," Thacker wrote. "And it did so despite CDC publishing a May 2020 policy study in their own journal, 'Emerging Infectious Diseases,' that did not find a 'substantial effect' for masks in stopping the transmission of respiratory viruses."



CDC
@CDCgov · Follow

#WearAMask 😷 in public to help #SlowTheSpread of #COVID19. When choosing a mask, make sure it fits snugly against your face, covers your nose and mouth, and has multiple layers. More on masks: bit.ly/30QOzQF.

2:40 PM · Apr 3, 2021

♥ 768 See the latest COVID-19 information on X

Read 155 replies

That same month, the CDC began publicly promoting N95 respirators as a more effective means of controlling the spread of COVID-19.

However, on its webpage promoting the superiority of N95 respirators, the CDC admitted "there's not a whole lot of evidence that N95 respirators do in fact work better than masks at stopping viruses," Thacker wrote.

"Laboratory studies have demonstrated that FFRs [filtering facepiece respirators] provide greater protection against aerosols compared with surgical masks … however, the results of clinical studies have been inconclusive," the CDC wrote, citing a 2019 study in JAMA comparing N95 respirators to masks.

"Among outpatient health care personnel, N95 respirators vs medical masks as worn by participants in this trial resulted in no significant difference in the incidence of laboratory-confirmed influenza," the JAMA study noted.



CDC
@CDCgov · Follow

Given the shortage of N95 respirators during this global outbreak of #COVID19, it's important to understand the difference between N95 respirators and surgical masks, and how both are intended to protect healthcare workers. Learn more from @NIOSH: go.usa.gov/xvDZw.

11:43 AM · May 2, 2020

♥ 1.5K See the latest COVID-19 information on X

Read 122 replies

According to Thacker, the results of these studies confirm the widely accepted pre-COVID-19 scientific consensus on the ineffectiveness of masks of any kind in stopping the spread of viruses. Thacker cited statements the World Health Organization made in 2019 and the CDC's guidance on virus control.

In a 2020 appearance on CBS' "60 Minutes," Dr. Anthony Fauci said that while a mask might "block a droplet" and "make people feel a little better," it does not provide "the perfect protection that people think it is."

March 2020: Dr. Anthony Fauci talks with Dr Jon LaPook about …
Share
COVID-19 • Get the latest information from the CDC about COVID-19.
Watch on YouTube

According to Thacker, "For some reason, a 'masks work' political movement began to grow," despite Fauci's statements and the findings of these studies.

"I'm not really sure what happened or what we do next," Thacker wrote. "But something weird took place in America where liberal elites began messaging among themselves 'masks work.' They then grew this into a crusade."

The movement was effective in getting the CDC on board with issuing mask guidance, Thacker said.

Four years after the onset of the pandemic, the CDC now openly cheerleads for masks, despite research the agency published showing that masks don't really protect people from catching viruses, he said.

"And this is why the experts advising the CDC are getting all this pushback: they didn't tell the CDC what the CDC wanted to hear," Thacker wrote.

Harvey Risch, M.D., Ph.D., professor emeritus and senior research scientist in epidemiology (chronic diseases) at the Yale School of Public Health, told The Disinformation Chronicle the CDC "has succumbed to political influences."

Risch said:

> "It made policies for school closures in order to please the teachers' union. Its charitable organization allows pharma to feed it hundreds of millions of dollars that would be illegal to go directly to the agency, and this gives pharma major influence on CDC policies."

According to Thacker, the CDC has continued to double down on guidance promoting mask efficacy. A Jan. 23 letter the agency sent to its own advisers appears to encourage them to add more mask guidance to the agency's new guidelines for the spread of pathogens, based on the conclusion that N95 respirators are effective.

"Too much science is forcing CDC to request a science do over," Thacker wrote, referring to the CDC's Jan. 23 post, which states that its new recommendations should not "be misread to suggest equivalency between facemasks and NIOSH Approved respirators, which is not scientifically correct nor the intent of the draft language."

Thacker said his investigation shows that "in their guidance to the CDC, experts do recommend masks as part of what they call 'transmission-based guidance' which the CDC defines as a second tier of infection control." However, the CDC's own guidance also finds that masks are effective only for "source control" — preventing an already infected person from infecting others.

"But this isn't what the CDC wants," Thacker wrote. "They want the experts to write guidelines that recommend healthy people wear masks, even though research shows masks won't really stop healthy people from getting sick."

"The CDC has caught the 'masks work' political wave and is now demanding that independent experts conform to their preferred mask dictates," he added.

In doing so, the CDC is rejecting science it doesn't like, including several other non-CDC studies that have questioned mask effectiveness.

A study published in Annals of Internal Medicine in November 2022 found no difference between N95 respirators and surgical masks in stopping the spread of COVID-19. These findings were mirrored in a January 2023 Cochrane meta-analysis on mask effectiveness.

According to the Cochrane report, "The use of a N95/P2 respirators compared to medical/surgical masks probably makes little or no difference for the objective and more precise outcome of laboratory-confirmed influenza infection."

A May 2023 study published in Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety suggests N95 respirators may expose wearers to dangerous levels of toxic compounds linked to seizures and cancer.

A September 2023 meta-analysis published in Clinical Research Study examined mask studies published since 2019 in the CDC's Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR).

"The Wuhan Cover-Up"
by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

ORDER NOW

According to the findings of the meta-analysis:

> "MMWR publications pertaining to masks drew positive conclusions about mask effectiveness >75% of the time despite only 30% testing masks and <15% having statistically significant results. No studies were randomized, yet over half drew causal conclusions.
>
> "The level of evidence generated was low and the conclusions were most often unsupported by the data. Our findings raise concern about the reliability of the journal for informing health policy."

Real-world examples also call into question narratives regarding mask efficacy.

Sweden, for instance, did not mandate or recommend masks for the general public during the first wave of the COVID-19 pandemic, and only did so in certain situations in the later stages of the pandemic, according to The Conversation. Yet, its total excess deaths during the first two years of the pandemic were among the lowest in Europe."

In 2020, Swedish state epidemiologist Anders Tegnell said, "We see no point in wearing a face mask in Sweden, not even on public transport," adding there were "at least three heavyweight reports … which all state that the scientific evidence is weak."

A Swedish government commission noted low levels of excess mortality in 2020 and 2021 and said that, at most, masks should have been "recommended."

Soon after the report was released, a Feb. 25, 2022, Boston Herald op-ed stated that Sweden "got it right."

"I don't understand what is driving the 'masks work' political movement," Thacker told The Defender. "There were plenty of stories written pointing out that there isn't much scientific evidence that masks stop respiratory virus spread."

"Maybe people were just scared and wanted to believe masks provide protection?" he said.

Thacker also cited the historical precedent of the Spanish Flu epidemic in 1918, when the Red Cross campaigned for masks all across America.

"California's state board of health ran a study comparing towns that had mask mandates against those that did not. They found that there was no difference and published the study in the American Journal of Public Health in 1920," Thacker said.

"Maybe these mask campaigners need to read a little history," he added.

Thacker is now calling on whistleblowers inside the CDC to contact him "to discuss what is going on inside the agency."

"I'm talking to CDC people and hope to learn what is going on inside the agency. I plan to write more on this," Thacker told The Defender.

"CDC Director Mandy Cohen wants to restore trust in the agency, but that won't happen if she keeps putting politics ahead of scientific evidence," he said.

Donate Now

You make the difference.

Latest News

CDC's Own Scientists Found Masks Ineffective for COVID — But Agency Recommended Them Anyway

5 Takeaways From This Week's Testimony in Landmark Fluoride Trial

Glyphosate Exposure During Pregnancy Raises Child's Risk of Poor Brain Function

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

Watch: Lawyer, Plaintiffs in Rockland County Measles Lockdown Lawsuit Discuss $750,000 Settlement

Why Pregnant Women Should Take Drastic Steps to Lower EMF Exposure During Pregnancy

Government Censorship Isn't New — But It's Getting More Personal

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name..

Last Name..

Email..

SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts

Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.

Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.

1234567890

Phone

REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890

CONTINUE

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D.

Michael Nevradakis, Ph.D., based in Athens, Greece, is a senior reporter for The Defender and part of the rotation of hosts for CHD.TV's "Good Morning CHD."

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Got it

63 Comments

1 Login ▾

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 14 • Share

Best Newest Oldest

patron
2 days ago

And yet you see so many still masked up (and vaxxed up)... absolutely obsessed, and pretty much all woke Democrats.

24 0 Reply • Share ›

Brian Collecott ↪ patron
2 days ago

Clearly many people are now trying to protect themselves from the aluminium and barium dropping from the skies! (not so funny really)

6 0 Reply • Share ›

JulieB ↪ patron
2 days ago

Unfortunately, this is not true. There are plenty of fools on both sides of the aisle who submit to this. Two wings of the same bird.

6 0 Reply • Share ›

GarySummers ↪ JulieB
a day ago

"How many Died, from them being Un-Sanitary?"
"15 Workers Making Face Masks On Dirty Floor At A Sweatshop In India! China Also?"

2 0 Reply • Share ›

Clare Goldsberry
2 days ago

Obviously, as I've said and written many times from the outset of the lockdowns, mask mandates and into vaccine mandates: This is NOT about health and safety -- it's ALL ABOUT power and control. Some people believe this was a virus/vaccine/health experiment. I contend that while those intentions may have been part of it, it was also a "social experiment" to see what it would take to get people to comply -- how fearful would they have to be and to what levels the government could push the populace to do what they were told. The masks were nothing more than compliance signals. The government learned a lot about what it takes to control the masses. Those of us who did NOT comply were under scrutiny and hounded mercilessly by the masked/vaccinated. But we are the survivors!

22 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo ↪ Clare Goldsberry
2 days ago

Everything that's happened since 2020 and everything to come, would not have been possible without ConVid as the springboard. Get ready for the next phase!

8 0 Reply • Share ›

richard
2 days ago

It's not science, it's a religious cult. Everything else follows from that.

22 0 Reply • Share ›

Brian Collecott ↪ richard
2 days ago

A 'public control' experiment originating from the lunatic WEF'?

6 0 Reply • Share ›

Alex Smith ↪ richard
20 hours ago

The priesthood of scientism must be exalted and maintained at **ALL** costs.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Shawn Siegel
2 days ago

LOL! Interesting, considering this, from the Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine's 2015 examination of the evidence base behind the use of surgical face masks: "There is a lack of substantial evidence to support claims that face masks protect either patient or surgeon from infectious contamination."

21 0 Reply • Share ›

RHE9 ↪ Shawn Siegel
a day ago

Do you have a date or issue on that for follow-up? Thanks!

0 0 Reply • Share ›

GarySummers
2 days ago edited

"Sadly Our CDC, FDA, HHS, are 'Corrupt' and 'Owned' By 'Big' Pharma"!
"'I get my Health INFO, from 'Switzerland', 'Sweden', and 'Denmark'!"

"Bombshell: 'CDC Director' Rochelle Walensky's Husband 'Took $$ Millions' in "Grant" Money for Pharmaceutical Endeavors"
https://stillnessinthestorm...

"Learn The Risks" -"Big Pharma"
https://learntherisk.org/ph...

"We were told that masks save lives, but it turns out the 'exact opposite' is true."
https://vigilantnews.com/po...

"Watch: 'Fauci Squirms' As CNN Anchor Confronts Him 'With Data' Showing Masks Don't Work"
https://www.zerohedge.com/c...

18 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo ↪ GarySummers
2 days ago

In 2020, Fraudski, in an interview, told us that masks don't "protect" anyone. I have the video. Of course, that was before billy boy made a "donation".

7 0 Reply • Share ›

GarySummers ↪ Ricky Ricardo
a day ago

"HOW MANY DIED FROM THE MASKS" "Sharing Is Caring"
1.) ""Half of the Masks Were Contaminated with 'One or More' Strains of Pneumonia-Causing Bacteria"" Did the Masks Kill People "Pneumonia?"
https://www.algora.com/Algo...

2.) "Consequences of Wearing Face Masks"
https://www.algora.com/Algo...

3.) "Dr. Anthony Fauci One Year Ago: 'People Should Not Be Walking Around with Masks'"
https://www.breitbart.com/p...

4.) "Fauci Can't Name 'Any Study' Proving Masks Stop Covid While Under Legal Oath"
https://www.binnews.com/alt...

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Accordion2ray ↪ GarySummers
a day ago

I never found a retail store bought mask or paper mask that I was confortable wearing for any length of time. The all smelt funny. I invested in 3 dozen custom printed 'tee-shirt' material masks that I washed again and again. I also did purchase a couple of washable sports usage masks that I got quite a bit of use out of while I was working out at a gym indoors that worked well and I was confident in, primarily because they were (hand) washable.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve ↪ Ricky Ricardo
a day ago

But what should one expect from our 5 billion in fruad fines and leader in cause of death, i.e. big pharma "medicine" ? Their job is to own us and treat us like slaves! i.e. "Nothing new under the sun stuff, for mindless Godless suckers and chumps!

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Roxy
2 days ago

Not only are masks ineffective, but they make people sick. We were not designed to breathe in our own toxic exhale. Just think about all the overweight, unhealthy, or people with breathing problems, preventing their ability to breathe in fresh air. This was a big part of why people got so sick. No "covid virus" necessary. People made themselves sick by going against nature.

15 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo ↪ Roxy
2 days ago

No fear...it's all part of the plan. The lack of proper oxygen intake is also killing off their brain cells. I don't think they can afford to lose any more! Could you imagine jogging with your breathing apparatus covered - breathing in all of those toxic microfibers, along with your own carbon dioxide and pathogens?

6 0 Reply • Share ›

Brian Collecott ↪ Roxy
2 days ago

Don't forget t lung contamination with microplastics...

5 0 Reply • Share ›

Accordion2ray ↪ Roxy
a day ago

I wear glasses whether on travel or working out. I found moving the mask up the face so as to seal better under my nose prevented my glasses from fogging, and at the same time created a gap around the sides of my face that let in and out air. Tecnically it's a cheat. I never believed the masks were necessary but it worked well enough to fool the stewardesses on flights and it was never pointed out. It did make me want to breathe more with my mouth.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve
2 days ago

The mask requirements were used as a talisman charm, to remind and frighten chumps about big pharmas gain of function biowarfare unleashed on the fools & suckers so as to frighten fools into submission, to dangerous experimental clot shots! A Godless Hell bound nation & world, deserve no better!

14 0 Reply • Share ›

djr
2 days ago

"Follow the science" except when it no longer suits us!

12 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo → djr
2 days ago

I always follow the science - even though I have no science background. That's how I knew from the very beginning that ConVid was a total PsyOps.

9 0 Reply • Share ›

Halifax → Ricky Ricardo
2 days ago

Me too. All BS! And I work in healthcare, frontlines, sucked in covid all day from vented icu patients. No one getting sick around me. I called it almost immediately. Scary to see how many people lack critical thinking skills in this world.

8 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Ricky Ricardo
a day ago

Check out, America's Broken Health Care by John Abramson, he explains how "science" is bought and paid for, by the 5 billion in fruad fines big pharmas! A very educational & interesting read !

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo → lakewoodsteve
5 hours ago

Thanks...everything in this society is bought and paid for...hospitals, universities, politicians, judges; and the list goes on and on and on!

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Isthisthingon → djr
2 days ago

Follow OUR Science!

I'm pretty sure I was the only parent in my Son's class that was taking any of the Nonsense coming out of Fauci's mouth and the contradictory "science" void of History coming from the CDC, etc. It came up that many parents were somehow looking to Asia as proof that masks work as they are routinely worn and then there was some study in Japan in hospital settings on the efficacy of mask wearing. When I looked on PubMed, there was NO Conclusive studies on the efficacy of mask wearing at all! The Japanese study was inconclusive as well.

Form what I understand mask wearing in many seriously polluted countries is just for that, to try and filter out the large particulate harmful matter lingering in the air as well as if one is sick they wear a mask as to not spit on other people...the question truly is here, "Why wouldn't we as a Global Community have Conclusive Studies on the Efficacy of Masking in our modern times? Seriously, we are trying to go to Mars, and we can't somehow put this issue to rest? The Perps need this tool to control! To virtue signal and to get people to "Other"! So, when I shared this information and pointed to these inconclusive studies, people simply shut down!

see more

8 0 Reply • Share ›

Brian Collecott → Isthisthingon
2 days ago

I had a choir buddy who was a surgeon at a major London hospital. He said that when covid-19 appeared they found they could not access any PPE because it was permanently locked in a room.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Brian Collecott
a day ago

A multi million Chinese family study found PP masks [for pharma made Co-V 19] to be worthless!

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Halifax → Brian Collecott
a day ago

Fantastic summary!!

2 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Isthisthingon
a day ago

Godless Marxist, Leftist induced, Confidence Bios, [pumped into Godless suckers 24/7] is a Bitch, to overcome!

1 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo
2 days ago

The fact that there are still articles discussing those idiotic face diapers, says it all! The fact that there are still millions of Mask Minions meandering everywhere; just goes to show you how braindead this society has become! Who knew there were so many people suffering from a Paranoid Delusion! Those muzzles will only make them sicker - of body and of mind!

9 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Ricky Ricardo
a day ago

What better way to demonstrate the power of Godless propaganda, than having Godless suckers & fools wearing Co2 elevating, petri dish talisman charms, to ward off evil spirits?

5 0 Reply • Share ›

Ave Bkr
2 days ago

We all knew this from the start but it's great to see more and more confirmation of the facts come out into the open.

9 0 Reply • Share ›

Peter van der Stam
2 days ago

Unfortunately, I knew the in-effectivenes of the from day one.
Bacteria are measured in Microns and the holes in the paper are just a little smaller (suposed to be smaller)
Virusses are 1000 (yes, thousand) times smaller.
Guess what?? They are going through the mask as was there nothing.
Compare the tennis net and ball. Thake the net AND a glass marble AND bingo.
And this is nor even science.

7 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Peter van der Stam
a day ago

Coved mask "logic" was like putting chain-link fence around the bar-b-q to keep the fly's out! But just look how many Godless suckers & fools believed in that nonsense! (They didn't know about lying Serpents'!)

5 0 Reply • Share ›

Beatrice → Peter van der Stam
a day ago edited

I wondered how many Americans had actually taken a Biology class. One not need to be a scientist to understand how basic hygiene works and why it's good practice. A camouflage Buff head band isn't going to make one stealth to or deter invaders like viruses, bacteria or even pollen. Also, if you only apply the cover to the mouth, the nose, being the most vulnerable to viruses, is exposed. Also, if one has facial hair like those tough burly swamp dudes and applies a covering, the seal is breached. Despite the lunacy of so many humans, several American sweatshops emerged that second week of mass hysteria and everyone began sewing masks like a patriotic Betsy Ross.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Halifax → Beatrice
a day ago

Doesn't matter. I worked with brilliant physicians who double masked the entire time and pushed their patients to do the same and get the jab. This has nothing to do with how "smart" people are. There is something more to it. Its the ability to see through BS, understand that the powers that be DO NOT have your best interest at heart, seeing the big picture, not being controlled by fear and most importantly, listening to your gut instinct. Sadly many doctors were trained in the biased corrupt institutions controlled by big pharma and, although they are "scientists" they still cannot think on their own.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Beatrice → Halifax
a day ago

Many doctors don't want to think independently. Like most professionals today, they are looking for the easy way to do their job and make the most money. " Passive Income" is the new way to conduct business- collect data, sell data, comply with authorities and go home to the Tesla and McMansion.

4 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Beatrice
a day ago

Smart & wise are two different animals!

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Beatrice → lakewoodsteve
8 hours ago

Indeed Grasshopper :D

1 0 Reply • Share ›

GarySummers → Halifax
6 hours ago

Some feel Covid was an IQ test for Americans, and Half of us Failed.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Jonathan Mitchell
2 days ago edited

Show me one detail of the official COVID narrative that *wasn't* utter, easily demonstrable nonsense. Show me a single point that withstands scrutiny. The "expert guidelines" were crap. The warning that hospitals were becoming overcrowded was crap. The claims made in favor of the "vaccine" were crap. The alleged virus was never isolated in a laboratory.

6 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Jonathan Mitchell
a day ago

You left out the birth or advancement of SARS Co-V 19 was a taxpayer funded scam for big pharma to make & sell patent "medicine." so as to buy advertising media & politicians! What better way to demonstrate how Satan's people & wisdom operate?

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Beatrice
a day ago

And, just as stupid as the mask, so was the social distancing. Anyone with a single peanut for a brain would know that exhalation moves with air currents. So, walking 6' behind someone in the grocery isn't going to keep you safe. Oh and you had to wear a mask to enter a restaurant but you could remove it to eat or drink. Such irrational and unscientific nonsense! I believe they implemented all these illogical methods to reinforce how dangerous the virus was and kept a record of how many compliant idiots there were.

5 0 Reply • Share ›

Dana Wilkes
a day ago

Just talking to my ex-wife. She said, what do you call the area where the scientist do experiments on animals??? A laboratory, I said. Exactly!, she stated. That is what the US is right now, a laboratory. I had to agree...

5 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Dana Wilkes
a day ago

And to think they executed Nazi medical scientist in Nuremberg, for doing the exact same thing! Maybe it's all to demonstrate Ecclesiastes 1:9?
"What has been will be again, what has been done will be done again; there is nothing new under the sun."

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Halifax
2 days ago

Everything I knew about 2 weeks into the scamdemic

5 0 Reply • Share ›

lakewoodsteve → Halifax
a day ago

Your luckey! ALL my neighbors masked up & jabed up, just like trained Barnum & Bailey circus animals! Just by dumb luck, non died from it,... yet! They probably believe that striping doctor's of their licences [for prescribing ivermectin] is wht saved them?
People who have no God to believe in, can be made to believe most anything!

5 0 Reply • Share ›

Beatrice → lakewoodsteve
a day ago

People who have no G-d to believe in feel the need to control others. They would be empty human shells if it were not for the contempt and fear of death that fills them.

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Load more comments

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense®

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2024 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap